## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:17-CV-64-D

ARLEEN BRADLEY,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	ORDER
NANCY A. BERRYHILL,	)
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	)
Defendant.	)

On February 16, 2018, Magistrate Judge Numbers issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 27] and recommended that this court deny plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 21], grant defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 24], and affirm defendant's final decision. Neither party objected to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond</u>, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and the briefs. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 27].

In sum, plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 21] is DENIED, defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 24] is GRANTED, defendant's final decision is AFFIRMED, and this action is DISMISSED. The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This 8 day of March 2018.

JAMES C. DEVER III

Chief United States District Judge